CITY OF CONCORD ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		APPLICATION FOR APPEAL		
Case Number: Date Received: Received By:		Administrators Decision		
		Special Exception		
		Variance	ce	
Amount Paid:		X Equitab	ole Waiver	
NAME OF APPLICANT		PHONE NO		
ADDRESS				
OWNER OF PROPERTY			_	
LOCATION & DESCRIPTION	OF PROPERTY			
LOT NO.	PAGE NO	ZONING DISTRICT		
OVERLAY DISTRICTS		LSD		
PROPOSED USE				
DETAILS OF REQUEST				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:	Use	Conforming	Non-Conforming	
	Lot Coverage	Conforming	Non-Conforming	
	Setbacks	Conforming	Non-Conforming	
	Height	Conforming	Non-Conforming	
	Parking/Loading .	Conforming	Non-Conforming	
	Other	Conforming	Non-Conforming	

ZONING APPEAL: SITE PLAN

A.	Area of lot squar	re feet.		
B.	Area of buildings	square feet.		
C.	Area of parking and loading facilities _		square feet.	
D.	Ratio of building area to lot area		. %.	
E.	Ratio of total building, parking, loading	areas to lot area		%

The applicant shall submit to the Board of Adjustment three copies of a complete and legible site plan, drawn to scale showing in correct detail the following elements where applicable:

- 1. Location of existing and proposed buildings;
- 2. Proposed layout of existing and proposed outside facilities;
- 3. Proposed layout of parking areas and loading bays; including
- 4. Proposed type and location of screening, of recreation and play areas, and of areas for outside storage of materials;
- 5. Location of access, egress, and interior roadways;
- 6. Location and adequacy of utilities, drainage, and provisions for public safety.

Site plans must be provided according to the above listed elements, and, if in the Code Administrators determination such site plan is inadequate, any Special Exception and/or Variance appeal will not be placed on the agenda until he feels all requirements have been met. An appellant has the right to appeal the Code Administrators denial of such placement on the agenda. If the Board, upon such appeal, sustains the Code Administrator's denial, it will not hear the case until the case is properly noticed following submission of, an adequate site plan. If the Board overrules the Code Administrator's denial, the case will be heard that evening or at the next soonest Board meeting for which the appellant is prepared to proceed.

AP	PPLICATION FOR APPEAL: EQUITABLE WAIVER II	PAGE 1 OF 2
	e undersigned hereby requests an equitable waiver of the terms of Article ction and asks that said terms be waived to permit the following:	
_		
The corund subgra	e undersigned alleges that the following legal criteria for granting an equitable we application bears the burden of presenting evidence sufficient to allow the Zoninclusions and make findings to support the authorization of an Equitable Waivedersign alleges that the following legal criteria for granting an Equitable Waiver mits the accompanying written statements, records, photographs, and other materiating of an Equitable Waiver and to explain how each of the criteria of an Equitable satisfied.	ng Board to reach er. To do so, the are satisfied, and crials to justify the
PA	ART I	
A.	That the violation was not noticed or discovered by any owner, former owner, representative, or municipal official, until after a structure in violation had completed, or until after a lot or other division of land in violation had be conveyance to a bona fide purchaser for value: (explain how the violation was f	been substantially en subdivided by
B.	The violation was not an outcome of ignorance of the law or ordinance, to obfuscation, misrepresentation, or bad faith on the party of any owner, representative, but was instead caused by either a good faith error in measurem made by an owner or owner's agent, or by an error in ordinance interpretation made by a municipal official in the process of issuing a permit over which authority:	owner's agent or nent or calculation on or applicability

C.	The physical or dimensional violation does not constitute a public or private nuisance, nor diminish the value of other property in the area, nor interfere with or adversely affect any present or permissible future uses of any such property:			
D.	Due to the degree of past construction or investment made in ignorance of the facts constituting the violation, the cost of correction so far outweighs any public benefit to be gained, that it would be inequitable to require the violation to be corrected:			
PA	RT II			
sat act	ieu of the findings required by the Board under items A and B, the owner may demonstrate to the sfaction of the Board that the violation has existed for 10 years or more, and that no enforcement ion, including written notice of violation, has been commenced against the violation during that e by the municipality or any person directly affected.			
_				
SIC	GNATURE:			
PR	INT NAME:			
DA	TE:			